Interview Summary	Application N	lo.	Applicant(s)	
	10/083,574		KOZONO ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Hien D. Vu		2833	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):				
(1) Mr. Hien D. Vu.	(3)			
(2) Mr. John Bird.	(4)			
Date of Interview: 17 February 2005.				
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.			
Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 9-11.				
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Pederson et al</u> .				
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)∐ was not re	eached. h)⊡ N	/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>discussed and agreed up 9 to more clearly set forth the intended concept and to more claims 10-11 thereby to place the case in condition for allow (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no continuous cont</u>	on changes to e distinstly det vance. ments which t	clarify the clain ine over the pric he examiner agr	ned invention of our art of record, to record, to reed would render	claims 1 and concel
allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)				
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.				
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.		Examiner's signa	ature, if required	